US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Questionnaire for Feedback on the Effectiveness of a Public Hearing Participant Assessment

Background

This questionnaire is designed to help Agency staff better understand what worked well and what improvements to consider implementing before holding future Public Hearings. Once the data are summarized, Agency staff can assess whether goals for success were met, make modifications if necessary, and compare progress over time. Thanks in advance for taking time to fill out the questionnaire. We value your input!

Directions

Rate the following statements on a scale from 1-7 and write your own opinion to questions in the space provided. If you have no opinion, circle the number representing "Don't know." Please return your questionnaire to an EPA official before you leave.

1a. Pre-hearing publicity availability

Please indicate how you heard about the public hearing (check all that apply):
radio announcementstelevision announcements
newspapers ads
flyers in store windows/bulletin boards
flyers in store windows/bulletin boardswebsite information
Other (please specify)
Was the information about the public hearing made available in locally used languages? No
If you received a pre-hearing notice via regular or electronic mail, how much in advance did the notice arrive?a few daysone week2 weeks2-4 weeksmore than 1 monthother (please specify)
Please suggest any additional ways you think EPA should publicize future public hearings:
Please explain what you learned about the public hearing or issue that made you decide to attend:
_1b. Pre-hearing background information
Was anything missing from the pre-hearing background information? Yes No If yes, please specify:
Did you call to ask for specific information?YesNo

2. Hearing logistics

		ndly disa	ye ^e	enhat die	adie poli	e .	Stordly ages	
	Str	, Oie	s' son	Sou	, bagg	Stro	, bou	
I became aware of pre-hearing notice early enough to plan attending.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Location was convenient.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Public transportation was close by.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Facility was comfortable for participants.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Time during which hearing was held was convenient.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
The length of the hearing was about right.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Translators were available (if needed).	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Signers for hearing impaired were available (if needed).	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Provisions were made for handicap access.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
3. Conduct of hearing								
Greeters made participants feel welcome.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
The registration process was efficient.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
I understood the purpose of the hearing.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
I understood how the hearing would be conducted.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Hearing planners focused on the right questions.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
I was comfortable with the hearing format.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	

Please continue to respond on the next page

3. Conduct of hearing (continued)

	Strongly disagles Somen			, 8	sadiee , as	No.	
	Stil	ongly die	adiee sof	newhat sor	newhat Ad	ee str	ond Soles Don't know
The format gave all ample opportunity to be heard.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
My ideas were heard.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
There was courteous interaction between speakers and hearing officials.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
It was easy to sign up for follow-up information.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
There was a good mix of viewpoints.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
I understand the "next steps" in the process.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Participants' input will make a difference.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Did you formally speak at the hearing? Yes	No						
If yes, please indicate the degree to which you agree or disagree with the following statements.							
I received respectful treatment.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Follow-up clarification questions were relevant.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Hearing officials were courteous.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Please suggest how EPA can improve the next public hea	aring						
Please indicate which group you represent: neighborhood grouplocal non-profit organization (not including local neighborhood enational environmental organizationbusiness or industry or trade associationstate or tribal or local governmentfederal governmentother (please specify)no organizational affiliation (e.g., interested local reside		non-pro	ofit grou	ıps)			

Please return your completed questionnaire to an EPA official before you leave. Thank you for helping EPA improve its public involvement practices.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 0.158 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review

instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15.